

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/714,567	11/14/2003	Paul Wentworth	1361.028US1	1768	
21186	7590 05/17/2006	EXAMINER			
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			VENCI, DAVID J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Ministration of the state of th		1641			
			DATE MAILED: 05/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action

العبه - سما

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/714,567	WENTWORTH ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
David J. Venci	1641		

	Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
		David J. Venci	1641				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED April 12, 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. 🛚	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
	The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date.  The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7.	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejecti	on.			
have under set for may in NOT	nsions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exergive 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sorth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) ICE OF APPEAL.	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orion r than three months after the mailing do ).	t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi ate of the final rejection, o	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,			
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th				
	NDMENTS  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	f will not be entered b	0001100			
J. <u> </u> △	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, $(a) \boxtimes They$ raise new issues that would require further co	insideration and/or search (see NC	), will <u>not</u> be entered b )TF below):	ecause			
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo		, i = 50.011,				
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.				
	NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	* **					
	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
	5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).						
7. 🔀	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	explanation of			
	Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .						
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>21-44</u> .						
AFFI	IDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	at before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).			
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation INTERMITED INTERMITED INT	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ned.			
11. [	∑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:			
	☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)				
_	_		Soulle				
			Long V. Le Ivisory patent exa	5/12/06 MINER			
		· TEA	UNIOLOGY OFFITTE 4	000			

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Continuation of 3: Applicants' amendment raises new issues that may require additional consideration and/or search. Specifically, Applicants amend independet claim 1 to concomitantly delete a method or step of assaying an "immunological response" and add a method or step of detecting an "antibody response". Cumulatively, Applicants' amendment appears to fundamentally alter the nature and scope of Applicants' invention, as claimed. Examiner is required to reexamine Applicants' claimed invention for compliance with Sections 101, 102, 103, 112 and/or 132 of Title 35 U.S.C. in view of Applicants' amendment.

Continuation of 11: Applicants' amendment raises new issues that may require additional consideration and/or search. Specifically, Applicants amend independet claim 1 to concomitantly delete a method or step of assaying an "immunological response" and add a method or step of detecting an "antibody response". Cumulatively, Applicants' amendment appears to fundamentally alter the nature and scope of Applicants' invention, as claimed. Examiner is required to reexamine Applicants' claimed invention for compliance with Sections 101, 102, 103, 112 and/or 132 of Title 35 U.S.C. in view of Applicants' amendment.